

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANNIE M. HARRIS,

Plaintiff,

No. C 12-03845 JSW

v.

MICHAEL C. ASTRUE, Commissioner of  
Social Security,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES  
TO INFORM COURT WHETHER  
THEY CONSENT TO  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL  
PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than November 13, 2012, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.<sup>1</sup> For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 31, 2012

  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>1</sup> Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.